## <u>Certification Under 37 C.F.R. §1.8</u> Date of Mailing or Transmission: 02-17-2009

I hereby certify that I have caused the document indicated herein on the date indicated above to be transmitted via the Office Electronic Filing System (EFS) in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.6(a)(4).

/SXShort/ Reg. No. 45,105 Shayne X. Short, Ph.D., Reg. No. 45,105

## PATENT APPLICATION IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<b>Application Serial No:</b> 10/079,367	Attorney Docket No: BP2087
<b>Application Filing Date</b> : 02-20-2002	Inventor(s): Nabil R. Yousef, Bruce J.
Examiner: ANDRAMUNO, FRANKLIN	Currivan
S	
Art Group: 2424	Patent No: n/a
Confirmation No: 7710	Patent Issue Date: n/a
<b>Title</b> : Outer code covered synchronous code division multiple access for cable modem	
channels	

Date: 02-17-2009

Mail Stop <u>RCE</u> Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to a final office action mailed on 10-14-2008 (Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080820) regarding the above-identified U.S. utility patent application, the Applicant respectfully submits the following amendment/response and Request for Continued Examination (RCE) within <u>four (4) months</u> of the mailing date thereof.

The Applicant is also submitting herewith a petition for extension of time (1 month) under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) along with payment of the appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(a)(1).

The three (3) month due date for responding to the office action falls on 01-14-2009. With the petition for extension of time (1 month), the due date for responding to the office action extends to Saturday, 02-14-2009, and the Applicant respectfully asserts that this amendment/response/RCE being filed on the next succeeding secular or business day on which the USPTO is open, namely, Tuesday, 02-17-2009, is timely filed in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 710.05, 35 U.S.C. § 21, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.7 (i.e.,

since Monday, 02-16-2009 is Washington's Birthday, which is a Federal Holiday under 5 U.S.C. § 6103(a) within the District of Columbia as observed by the USPTO).

The Applicant has amended certain claims, has canceled certain claims, and has added certain new claims; the Applicant respectfully believes that no excess claim fees are due.